

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/657,620	09/08/2003		James R. Davis	Aerotech 4.1-5	1055
21036	7590	09/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MCLEOD (		•	JOYCE, HAROLD		
2190 COMMONS PARKWAY OKEMOS, MI 48864				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				3749	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 A A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/657,620	DAVIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harold Joyce	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuser of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply we have reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mainication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum outory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) will, by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on					
•	b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal r	matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the ap	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement	, ••				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September</u>		b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any object						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	<b>.</b> ,					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority of						
• • • •		peen received in this National Stage				
application from the Internation						
* See the attached detailed Office action	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interv	view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P7	ГО-948) Рарег	r No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9082003.	. 5/52/55/	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/657,620 Page 2

**Art Unit: 3749** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the German patent in view of Strawsine. The German patent discloses the claimed invention except for the motor being connected to the center hub. Strawsine teaches that it is known to provide a "fan motor 58 having a blade 59" as set forth at column 3, line 46. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hub of the German patent to be connected to the motor, as taught by Strawsine in order to provide the conventional direct connection of the motor to the fan.
- 3. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the German patent in view of Strawsine as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bohanon, Sr. Further, the German patent discloses the claimed invention except for the backdraft assembly. Bohanon, Sr. teaches that it is known to provide a fan enclosure with a louvered shutter arrangement as set forth at column 3, line 66 to column 4, line 19. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the fan of the German patent with the backdraft assembly, as taught by Bohanon, Sr. for its obvious intended purpose.

**Art Unit: 3749** 

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,386,828. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims differ only in the omission of elements. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to omit element from a combination claim, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Art Unit: 3749** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 308-0274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703) 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Halfold Joyce Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3749